











This project is co-funded by the European Union and the common Fund to Common Fun	C Project: CSITC
Based on the recommendations of the facilitate the work that is necessary the project was created:	e CSITC Task Force, and to o fulfil the CSITC objectives, a
Commercial Standardization of Instrument Testing of Cotton for the Cotton Producing Developing Countries in Africa CEC/ICAC/33	AND ATA STA
→ To assist developing countries to standardized and harmonized ins at a disadvantage	meet the requirements of trument testing, so that they are not
ightarrow For supporting the global aims	Topic of this presentation
7	A. Drieling: Global Achievements Final Sem. Arusha 2012











Sales Contraction	This project is co-funded by the European Union and the common Fund Commond Line Commond Line Common L							
	Attribute	USDA HVI Checktest	Bremen Round Trial	CSITC Round Trial				
	Number of participants	50 to 80 HV instr.	130 to 150 HV instr.	110 registered labs (2011) 115-137 HV instr. (2011)				
	Kinds of instruments	Restricted to High Volume Testing	Every kind of Testing instrument	Restricted to High Volume Testing				
	Cottons: Origin and type	USA; Upland	World; broad range of prop.	4 US Upland; 1 international				
	Costs	Annual fee	Free of charge	Annual fee: 2012: 1000 USD				
	Frequency	12 times/year each 2 samples	3 times/year each 1 sample	4 times/year each 5 samples				
	Number of tests per sample	Asked for 12 tests per sample	Proposed: 6 tests per sample	30 tests per sample (fixed)				
	Aim	Information for the laboratory	Information for the laboratory	Official laboratory evaluation and detailed analysis for the laboratory				
	Evaluation of	Laboratory average	Laboratory average	Laboratory average and all single data				
	Evaluation of	Trueness only	Trueness only	Trueness and precision				
	Additional benefit			Calibration Material delivered with the RT samples (starting 2012)				





This project the European Common Func	UNIVERSITAT PREMEN PREMEN Coop. Partner of ICA Bremen				
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Labs	8	11	9	12	14
Instr. (paral.)	13	16	12	16	?
Countries	6	8	7	8	9
Countries	Benin Egypt South Afr. Tanzania Zambia Zimbabwe	Benin Egypt Kenya South Afr. Sudan Tanzania Zambia Zimbabwe	Egypt Mali South Afr. Tanzania Uganda Zambia Zimbabwe	Burkina Faso Egypt Mali South Africa Tanzania Uganda Zambia Zimbabwe	Burkina Faso Egypt Mali South Africa Sudan Tanzania Uganda Zambia Zimbabwe
16			A. Drieling: Gl	obal Achievements Fir	nal Sem. Arusha 2012

ANC.	This project is co-funded by This project is co-funded by common Fund for Commodilies Coop. Partner of ICA Brem							
		Cotton 1	Cotton 2	Cotton 3	Cotton 4		Cotton 5	
	day 1	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests		6 tests	
	day 2	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests		6 tests	
	day 3	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests		6 tests	
	day 4	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests		6 tests	
	day 5	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests	6 tests		6 tests	
	Sub Total	30 tests	30 tests	30 tests	30 tests		30 tests	ĺ
	Total		150 te	sts for each Rou	ind Trial			
Cotton 1 to cotton 4 for laboratory evaluationCotton 5•US originas option for•Tested for homogenietyother origins,•Upland cottonsginning or•Saw ginneddifferent behavior						r		
	17			A. Drieling: G	Global Achievements	Final	Sem. Arusha 2012	





This project is co-funded by the European Union and the Common Fund for Commonlies	Inter-Instru Parameter	ument s	Resu	lt Var	iatior		Coop. Partner of	FIBRE ICA Bremen
Strength based on 30 te n=123 minus outliers according	to Grubbs	Strength base n=615 minus o	d on 6 tests on s utliers according	ingle days to Grubbs	1400 -	Strength n=3690 minus	n based on sing outliers accor	le values ding to Grubbs
Inter-instrument distribut based on 30 test results 6 days) for each instrum	Inter-instrument distribution based on 30 test results (on 6 days) for each instrument 1 day) for each instrument 1 day) for each instrument							
		Streng	,th					
			Cotton 1	Co ton 2	Cotton 3	Cotton 4	Average	Cotton 5
Average of Instruments (Grubbs)			29.494	2 .681	25.760	33.514		31.881
Reference Values for Evaluation			29.494	7.681	25.760	33.514		31.881
Number Of Instruments			123	123	123	123	123	123
		SD	0.915	0.968	1.236	1.020	1.035	0.997
based on 30 tests CV % 3.1 3.5 4.8 3.0 3.6 3.							3.1	
Inter-Instrument Variation		SD	0.996	0.997	1.183	1.150	1.081	1.051
	based on 6 tests	CV %	34	3.6	4.6	3.4	3.8	3.3
	based on single tests	SD CV %	1.169	1.157	1.303	1.322	1.238	1.199
	Dated Off Single (6515	JV 70	4.0	4.2	5.1	0.9	4.3	0.0
20			A. Drie	eling: Global	Achievement	s Final S	Sem. Arusha	2012



Sale Con	This project is co-funded by Common Fund C							
	All results are based on 72 cottons from RT2007-1 to 2011-2, restricted to US Upland, Average: 90 High Volume Instruments per Round Trial							
	Property / Parameter	SD inter-	SD inter-	Trend from 2007 to 2011				
	Micronaire	0.074	0.089	Slight decrease				
	Strength, g/tex	1.06	1.30	Decrease→ Constant				
	UHML, Inches	0.012	0.017	Constant				
	Uniformity Index	0.53	0.82	Constant				
	Color Rd	1.09	1.15	Increase→Constant				
	Color +b	0.37	0.42	Increase→Constant				
	Decreases might come from learning process of the laboratories Increases occur e.g. due to participation of new/more laboratories							
	22		A. Drieling: Global Achie	evements Final Sem. Arusha 2012				

This project is co funded by the European Union and the common Fund for Commoditi	Currently Ac	hievable Impro					
How far will the SD be improved by only choosing the better 50%* of the laboratories?							
	SD inter-ins	strument (1)					
Property / Parameter	All laboratories	50%* best laboratories					
Micronaire	0.092	0.074	Possible				
Strength, g/tex	1.40	1.13	reduction of				
UHML, Inches	0.0171	0.0137	SD interlab:				
Uniformity Index	0.81	0.68	approx. 20%				
Color Rd	1.03	0.80	for each property				
Color +b	0.38	0.29					
Data based on CSITC RT 2007-1 to 2008-2 (24 cotton samples) * 50% of the best labs were chosen based on their overall RT Evaluation in each RT							
23		A. Drieling: Global A	chievements Final Sem. Arusha 2012				



2 – Analysis of Each Instrument: Evaluation / Rating							FIBRE of ICA Bremen
Performance of Laboratory 115							
		Micronaire	Strength	Lenath	Uniformity	Color Rd	Color +b
Reference Values	Cotton 1	3,83	32,82	1,207	82,42	76,31	12,14
	Cotton 2	5,17	28,22	1,136	81,90	78,06	11,53
	Cotton 3	4,40	25,54	0,948	78,53	74,86	10,86
	Cotton 4	3,81	32,89	1,177	83,65	76,08	10,98
Laboratory Average of All Days	Cotton 1	3,80	33,62	1,207	82,71	75,37	11,38
	Cotton 2	5,23	28,50	1,134	81,44	76,05	10,82
	Cotton 3	4,36	26,11	0,969	76,13	73,62	10,41
	Cotton 4	3,79	32,72	1,182	83,83	75,29	10,17
Bol Distance to Reference	Cotton 1	0.02	0.80	0.000	0.20	0.04	0.76
	Cotton 2	-0,05	0.28	-0.003	-0.46	-2.00	-0,70
	Cotton 3	-0.04	0,20	0.021	-2.40	-1 24	-0.45
	Cotton 4	-0.02	-0.18	0.005	0.18	-0.79	-0.81
		- / -	- , -	-,	-, -	- / -	- / -
Mean Absolute Distance to Reference		0,04	0,46	0,007	0,83	1,24	0,68
Scale Factor							
(Based on USDA Reproducibility Limits except Rd)		0,10	1,50	0,02	1,00	1.50	0,50
Summary Evaluation for Each Property		0.20	0.24	0.00	0.02	0.02	4.97
(=Mean Abs. Distance divided by Scale Factor)		0,30	0,31	0,30	0,05	0,05	1,37
Relevance of Property		1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00	1,00
Summary Evaluation of All Properties	Summary Evaluation of All Properties						
(=Average of all properties)							
25 A. Drieling: Global Achievements Final Sem. Arusha 2012							







This project the Europee Common Fur	is co-funded by in Union and the id for Commodities	With base	nin Lim d on si	nits Eva ngle tes	aluatio t results	n s	Coop. Partner of ICA Bremen
Within Limits Based on Single Test	Evaluati Results	on	Applie	d for RT	2011-2		Applied limits:
l insite	Micronaire	Strength	Length	Uniformity	Color Rd	Color +b	Statistics
LIIIIIIS	U.20	a/tex	inch	2.0	units	units	
% of Instruments 100% within limits	59.3	20.3	28.5	47.2	27.6	65.9	Single
% of Instruments ≥95% within limits	80.5	42.3	65.9	78.9	48.0	82.1	Instruments
% of Instruments ≥75% within limits	95.1	84.6	91.9	98.4	71.5	87,8	Tesuits
% of Instruments ≥65% within limits	95.9	87.0	94.3	98.4	78.0	89.4	"For this instru-
							ment and for
	Pe	rcentage of F	Results Within	n Limits	Color Dd	Calas th	law with 020/ of
GL 112-001-01	97	82	Zengin 71	44	22 42	100	iengin, 83% oi
GL112-001-02	99	86	83	99	13		the 120 results
GL112-001-03	98	82	96	100	10	99	
GL112-002-01	100	100	100	100	100	100	were measured
GL112-002-02	100	100	100	100	00	100	incide the
GL112-002-04	100	100	100	100	100	100	Inside the
GL112-003-02 GL112-004-01	88	/b 86	47		83 100	100	allowed limits"
GL112-006-01	2	0	83	98	18	100	
GI 112-007-01	100	97	99	100	93	100	ts Final Sem. Arusha 2012







Myc-yest	New Reporting: → Instrument Evalue	ation Report
•	Section 1: Instrument Results and Analysis	WITH 3 HEADING PAGES
	<ul> <li>Calculation table for evaluation</li> </ul>	
	- Calculation table for optional parameters	New
	<ul> <li>Detailed analysis graphs</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Precision evaluation</li> </ul>	Improved
•	Section 2: Instrument Evaluation	
	<ul> <li>Evaluation Combined Properties</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Instrument, table, graph</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Evaluation Single Properties</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Instrument, tables, graphs</li> </ul>	
•	Section 3: Within Limits Evaluation	New
	- Based on 30 tests, with instrument highlighted	
	- Based on single tests, with instr. highlighted	
:		





Shirt Think Comm	Coop. Partner of ICA Bremen						
Upland	d: based on 72 cotton	s; restricted to US U	pland; 90 instrumen	ts per RT			
Extra f	fine: based on 24 cott	ons; restricted to G.	barbadense; 10 inst	r. per RT			
	Property / Parameter	US Upland	Extra Fine				
	i di di lotto i	SD inter- instrument (30)	SD inter- instrument (30)				
	Micronaire	0.07	0.08				
	Strength, g/tex	1.06	1.2				
	UHML, Inches	0.012	0.013				
	Uniformity Index	0.53	0.71				
	Color Rd	1.09	1.07				
	Color +b 0.37 0.42						
Although results cannot be directly compared, the first analysis shows only a slight increase in inter-instrument result variation							





Sonc-year	This project is co-funded by He European Union and the common Fund for Commodilies	Coop. Partner of ICA Bremen
	Contents	
	1. Preamble	4
	2. Introduction	
	3. Necessary Basic Documents	7
	4. Definitions	7
	5. CSITC Requirements in Cotton Testing	
	6. Sampling	9
	7. Laboratory Environment	
	7.1. Electrical	
	7.2. Compressed Air	
	7.3. Space	
	8. Atmospheric Conditions / Conditioning	
	8.1. Standard Temperature, Standard Humidity and Monitoring/Recording	
	8.2. Building / Laboratory Design	
	8.3. Ambient Air Management System and its Design	
	8.4. Passive Conditioning of the Samples	
	8.5. Rapid or Active Conditioning of the Samples	
	8.6. Instrument Correction for Moisture	
	9. Sample Handling in the Laboratory	
39	A. Drieling: Global Achievements	Final Sem. Arusha 2012

Sourc - Profes	This project is co-funded by The European Union and the common Fund for Commondling	Coop. Partner of ICA Bremen
	10. Standardized Instruments for Testing of Cotton	
	10.1. General	
	10.2. Instrument Preparation / Maintenance	
	10.3. Operation / Testing	
	10.3.1. Micronaire Module	
	10.3.2. Length/Strength Module	
	10.3.3. Color/Trash Module	23
	11. Calibration	
	11.1. Calibration Standards	
	11.2. Internal Standard Material	
	11.3. Calibration / Calibration Check	
	12. Variability of Data / Measurement Uncertainty	
	13. Round Trials / Re-Test Programmes	
	14. Data Recording / Reporting / Export	
	15. Commercial Use of the Data	
	16. Personnel	
	17. Laboratory Management	
	18. Additional Cotton Testing Instruments	
	19. Acknowledgements	
40	A. Drieling: Global Achievements	Final Sem. Arusha 2012





This projection	Guideline: Long Version	Coop. Partner of ICA Bremen
	8. Atmospheric Conditions / Conditioning	
	8.1. Standard Temperature, Standard Humidity and Monitoring/Recording	
	As the measured characteristics (mainly strength) are influenced by the cotton moisture content and methodology of conditioning, samples must be brought to a moisture content which is in equilibrium with the approved atmospheric conditions before and during testing.	
	The relevant ASTM Standard Practice is ASTM D 1776 "Standard Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles. For cotton testing" [ASTM].	
	$\rightarrow$ The allowed temperature range is fixed at 21 +/- 1°C (70 +/- 2°F)	
	$\rightarrow$ The allowed relative humidity range is fixed at 65 +/- 2% RH	
	The tolerance range around the humidity target (+/-2%RH) is even more important than the target (65%RH) itself, as calibration with cotton standards can compensate for slight variations in the absolute RH level, but cannot compensate for short term variations.	
	( <u>Recommendations</u> ) Alternatively ISO 139 Textiles Standard Atmosphere for Conditioning and Testing can be applied. For testing,	
	<ul> <li>The allowed standard temperature is fixed at 20°C with a tolerance of +/-2°C minus the measurement uncertainty of the sensor – so in practice a conformity zone of not more than +/-1°C is allowed</li> <li>The allowed standard relative humidity is fixed at 65%RH with a tolerance zone of +/- 4%RH minus the measurement uncertainty of the sensor- so in practice a conformity zone of not more than +/- 2%RH is allowed</li> </ul>	
	The laboratory has to be conditioned to the above conditions 24 hours a day, 7 days a week during the cotton classing season [ITMF].	
43	It is necessary to monitor the temperature and humidity continuously with independent checking sensors $[\mathrm{ITMF}].$	al Sem. Arusha 2012



















